**Appendix**

The definitions of the sixty time domain features of the vibration signals are presented in Table 1. In this study, these sixty feasible features are explored for RUL prediction of bearings. These features are the bearing condition indicators that quantify vibration signals to aid in RUL prediction process. The RReliefF algorithm is used to develop effective features that accomplish RUL prediction through the quantification of vibration signals. The condition indicators can be developed from the raw signal or after a signal processing technique. In this study, sixty different compute equations are introduced to extract features from the time domain signals. Once the time domain vibration signal is obtained and features computed, the RUL prediction of bearings can be accomplished. The hybrid prediction model is facilitated by examining the feature selection results for the time domain vibration signals to predict the RUL of bearings. If the features can acquire the characterize of the operating bearing effectively, predicting of the RUL for bearings is accomplished.

**Table 1**: Description of input features used in this study

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| No. | Variable name and formula | Ref. | No. | Variable name and formula | Ref. |
| 1 | Maximum signal voltage: *F*1 = max(*xi*) | [1] | 31 |  | [11] |
| 2 | Minimum signal voltage: *F*2 = min(*xi*) | [1] | 32 | Most frequent values in array:  | [12] |
| 3 | Mean:  | [1] | 33 |  | [13] |
| 4 | Standard deviation:  | [1] | 34 | Mean absolute value:  | [13] |
| 5 | Root Mean Square:  | [1] | 35 |  | [13] |
| 6 | Peak:  | [1] | 36 |  | [13] |
| 7 | Kurtosis:  | [1] | 37 | Median value:  | [13] |
| 8 | Kurtosis factor:  | [1] | 38 |  | [2] |
| 9 | Crest factor:  | [1] | 39 |  | [2] |
| 10 | Peak to peak:  | [2] | 40 | Waveform factor: | [2] |
| 11 | Impulse factor:  | [3] | 41 |  | [2] |
| 12 | CRIS (a combined effect of **C**rest factor, **R**oot mean square value, **I**mpulse factor and **S**tandard deviation):  | [4] | 42 | Sum:  | [6] |
| 13 | Coefficient of variation:  | [1] | 43 | Impact-factor:  | [14] |
| 14 | Inverse coefficient of variation:  | [5] | 44 | Shannon’s entropy:  | [9] |
| 15 | Energy:  | [1] | 45 | Log entropy:  | [9] |
| 16 | K factor:  | [1] | 46 |  | [15] |
| 17 | 5th moment:  | [6] | 47 |  | [15] |
| 18 | 6th moment:  | [6] | 48 | Absolute value of the summation of square root:  | [16] |
| 19 | Square-mean-root:  | [2] | 49 | Mean value of the square root:  | [16] |
| 20 | Skewness:  | [1] | 50 | Zero crossings: F50 = count (if {*xi* > 0 and *xi*+1 < 0} or {*xi* < 0 and *xi*+1 > 0}) | [17] |
| 21 | Skewness factor:  | [1] | 51 | Slope sign changes: *F*51= count (if {*xi*> *xi*-1 and *xi* > *xi*+1} or {*xi* < *xi*-1 and *xi* < *xi*+1}) | [17] |
| 22 | Shape factor:  | [1] | 52 | Waveform length:  | [7] |
| 23 | Mean absolute deviation:  | [7] | 53 | Logarithmic mean absolute value: *F*53 = log(*F*34) | [18] |
| 24 | Variance:  | [7] | 54 | Logarithmic root mean square: *F*54 = log(*F*5) | [18] |
| 25 | Margin factor:  | [2] | 55 | Logarithmic waveform length: *F*55 = log(*F*52) | [18] |
| 26 |  | [8] | 56 | Logarithmic standard deviation value: *F*56 = log(*F*4) | [18] |
| 27 | Histogram upper bound:  | [9] | 57 | Waveform length ratio:  | [19] |
| 28 | Histogram lower bound:  | [9] | 58 | Mobility: *F*4ʹ is the standard deviation of the first derivative of the vibration signal | [20] |
| 29 | Clearance factor:  | [10] | 59 | Complexity: *F*4ʺ is the standard deviation of the second derivative of the vibration signal | [20] |
| 30 | Log-log ratio:  | [8] | 60 | Willison amplitude:  | [7] |
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